

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/582,705		06/30/2000	Shinji Yoshimura	44243P	6865
2292	7590	09/11/2003			
221011		KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				ZIRKER, DANIEL R	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1771	

DATE MAILED: 09/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	· FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	

EX	AMINER

DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the *EXAMINER* in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]

a) [The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) [In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 707.07(f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
nave bee 37 CFR 1 (b) above	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in e, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any latent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
3.□	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (see NOTE below);
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:
4.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
5.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
6.☑	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
٠	Claim(s) allowed: Ngn 4
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-6
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
9.	The proposed drawing correction filed on a) \square has b) \square has not been approved by the Examiner.
10.□	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.□ 11.□	Other:
ئىسا، 1	VIIII

DANIEL ZIRKER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300

1700

Daniel Zuku

Serial No. 09/582,705 Art Unit 1771

NOTE

The Examiner must again respectfully rely on the rationale previously set forth in the Final Rejection, Paper No. 13.

Applicants' arguments are all believed to be either unsupported attorney's opinions relating to alleged non-obvious properties, or positions which have previously been addressed by the Examiner. Additionally, applicants' assumptions about the prior art rejections of claims 5 and 6 which are set forth in a footnote at the bottom of page 2 of their Response is correct, although it is noted that claims 1-4 have previously been rejected by both prior art references, not just one of the two references as is advertently stated by applicants on page 2 of the pending response.

DZirker:cdc

(703) 3080031

September 8, 2003